G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.
CB 99-22-324
CB 123-4-142
CB 271-19-141

1924
CB

File No. 102/24

Serial Nos. 142

Later references.

Present

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 142

Part B for Nov. 1924

SUBJECT

Representation of Mr. K. G. Shamanna, Retired Deputy Superintendent, Revenue Survey, requesting for the benefit of the revised grade of pay of Deputy Superintendent, Rev. Survey

To

Mr. K. G. Shamanna, Bangalore
No. 1224 Dy 20/11/24

States that his request cannot be sanctioned.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 102 of 1924

Serial No.

Mr. K. G. Shamanna, Retired Deputy Supdt of Rev. Survey. Petition & 26th Sept 24

Request that the benefit of the revised grade of pay of the appointment of Dy. Superintendent may be given to him from the date of his getting Rs 700, viz. 20th Aug 1920 up to the date of his retirement on 1st Dec 1922.

The pay of the appointment of Dy. Supdt was raised from Rs 600-50-700 to Rs 600-40-800 with effect from the 1st Aug 1924.

Mr Shamanna's request for an arbitrary pension of Rs 350 was not sanctioned by Govt in January 1923.

Submitted for orders with references.

Amt 8.10.24

Mr Shamanna retired from the Service from the 1st December 1922.

The max. pay of the appointment Dy Supdt was very recently raised from Rs 700 to Rs 800. Although there might have been some justification for doing so even earlier, the fact remains that during Mr Shamanna's tenure of the office the max. pay was only Rs 700. A retired officer cannot claim the benefit of improved scales sanctioned after his retirement.

Devan

Regretted

NOTE–Contn. Sheet

C 161 / 8.10.24

13/10.24 14/10

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 102 of 1924

CB 500

Serial No.	
C.161	The rates of pay of appointments are raised or reduced according to circumstances and officers are entitled only to the rates which are in force when they are in service. They cannot claim the benefit of increases allowed after they cease to hold the posts the pay of which has been altered; nor can they be required to refund the excess in cases in which pay is reduced. There must be finality based on existing sanctions in the settlement of salary claims. M/s Shamanna's request is therefore inadmissible.
	(Chief Secretary)
	Dewan Regret 21/10
	Hence be informed
	Draft reply submitted
	Chief Secretary

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
RECD. ON 21 OCT. 1924
Reg. No. 2978.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

CB 585

C.B. 182-24-1

To

A. R. BANERJI, ESQ., M.A., C.S.I., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
DEWAN OF MYSORE, B A N G A L O R E.

S I R,

I beg leave to place before you the following facts for your gracious consideration and favourable orders, fervently hoping that my representation would not be brushed aside as coming from a retired officer, as the facts therein related refer to the period when I was in active service:

1. After serving the Government for 17 years in various capacities, of which 12 years were spent as Amildar and Magistrate in the different Taluks of the State, I was appointed as an Assistant Commissioner in 1903, and posted for duty in the Survey and Settlement Department. How I worked as an Amildar may well be judged by the recommendations then made on my behalf by the several Heads of Departments under whom I had the honour of serving. The then Revenue Commissioner Mr. V. P. Madhava Rao recommended me more than once to be made a Revenue Sub Division Officer, while Mr. C. Madiah the then Inspector General of Police wanted me for his Department as a Superintendent of Police Finally the then Survey and Settlement Commissioner Col. Grant applied for my services in the Survey Department and his recommendation was sanctioned by Government. Though I was working in the Survey Department, my name was all along borne in the general line. In due course I was promoted from grade to grade till I was appointed as a Senior Assistant Commissioner on 19th June 1917.

I beg leave to place before you the following facts for your practical consideration and favourable censure. Fervently hoping that my representation would not be thought amiss as coming from a retired officer as the facts therein related refer to the period when I was in active service:

1. After serving the Government for 17 years in various capacities, of which 12 years were spent as Collector and Magistrate in the different Talukas of the State, I was appointed as an Assistant Commissioner in 1902, and posted for duty in the Survey and Settlement Department. How I worked as an officer may well be judged by the recommendations then made on my behalf by the several Heads of Departments under whom I had the honour of serving. The then Revenue Commissioner Mr. V. P. Madhava Rao recommended me more than once to be made a Revenue Sub-Division Officer while Dr. Meghan the then Inspector General of Police wanted me for his Department as a Superintendent of Police. Finally the then Survey and Settlement Commissioner Col. Grant applied for my services in the Survey Department and his recommendation was sanctioned by Government. Though I was working in the Survey Department, my name was all along borne in the General line. In due course I was promoted from Grade to Grade till I was appointed as a Senior Assistant Commissioner on 19th June 1917.

When the post of Deputy Superintendent fell vacant in 1918, I was appointed to the vacant post as I had then fully qualified myself for it. I may here mention that when I was made Deputy Superintendent in 1918 on Rs.600/-, I did not supersede any one in the general line.

2. When I was made Deputy Superintendent in 1918, I fully believed that my claims in the general line would not be disturbed, but when Mr. Hiriannaiya, by far my junior, was appointed as Offg. Deputy Commissioner on 26th September 1919, I was surprised to see that my claims for preferment in the general line were for the first time overlooked, and when I represented my case to Government, I was informed by the then Chief Secretary that I lost my lien on the general service when I was appointed as Deputy Superintendent in the Survey Department.

3. When I was still in service, I suffered a series of supersessions by the appointment of 4 or 5 junior officers as Deputy Commissioners while I was rotting in the Survey Department on Rs.700/- per mensem. I again represented on 11th November 1921, that in consideration of these supersessions the maximum pay of my appointment might at least be raised to Rs. 800/- to bring it on a par with the pay of the Deputy Comptrollers and other Officers of similar grade in the Geological and Commerce Departments. The request of mine was not also favourably considered then.

4. I now hear that for similar reasons the maximum pay of the present Deputy Superintendent has been raised to Rs.800/- I fail to understand how my case was different from the present one. On the other hand I had greater claims for some concession being shown to me, Considering that I was previously serving in the Revenue Department,

that I was previously serving in the Revenue Department,
claims for some concessions being shown to me. Considering
fr. 800/- I fail to understand how my case was different
from the previous ones. On the other hand I had greater
qualifications myself for it. I may more particularly
point out that when I was made temporary Assistant in July 1919,
I was drawing Rs.300/- p.m. in the general line.

8. There is no rule bound experience in this,
I shall personally think by placing in the general line would
not be instructed, but wherein. Highwaying, by far my
junior, was appointed as City. Deputy Controller on 26th
September 1919, I was surprised to see that my claims for
preferment in the general line were for the first time
overlooked, and then I represented my case to Government,
I was informed by the then Chief Secretary that I lost
my lien on the General Service when I was appointed as
Deputy Examiner of Accounts in the Survey Department.

9. When I was still in service, I suffered a serious
disappointment in the appointment of a Junior officer
to Deputy Secretary vice Mr. [illegible] again recommended
by the Public Service Commission. [illegible] for curious
circumstances the maximum pay of my appointment might at
least be raised to Rs. 300/- to bring it on a par with the
pay of the Deputy Controllers and other Officers of
similar grade in the Geological and Commerce Departments.
However that was not also favourably considered then.

10. I submit that for similar reasons the maximum
pay of the present Deputy Superintendent has been raised to
Rs.800/- I fail to understand how my case was different
from the previous ones. On the other hand I had greater
claims for some concessions being shown to me. Considering

thereby qualifying myself for the post of a Deputy Commissioner.

5. The recommendations made on my behalf from time to time by the late Superintendent Mr. D. K. Darashah bear ample testimony as to how I worked in the Survey Department. When I was made Deputy Superintendent in 1918 I was actually in charge of two Establishments in addition to supervising the field work of 6 or 7 parties working in different parts of the State. The new rules for expediting the Revision Settlements owe their origin to me and I had to take considerable pains in training all the officers and men of the Department for carrying out the new rules.

6. Under these circumstances and taking into consideration the hard and ~~x~~ delicate work done by me in the Department, I request that you would be graciously pleased to give me the benefit of the revised grade from the date of my getting Rs.700 viz., 20th August 1920 up to the date of my retirement on 1st December 1922.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Thamanna

CHIEF SECRE;
26 SEP 1924

Bangalore.
Dated 26 Septr. 1924.

Retired Superintendent
of
Revenue Survey.

Submitted through the Chief Secretary, with a request that he will place it before The Dewan Sahib, with his support, as he is fully aware of all the circumstances of this unfortunate case.

K. Thamanna

Retd. Deputy Supt. of Rev. Survey

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

G. R. S. VI—(G/32)

SUBJECT..

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section CB
File No. 102/24
Serial No 2

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

No. 1224
CB

Date of issue 20/11/24

Signed by Asst. to Secretary

To

K. G. Shamanna Sett, B.A.,
Deputy Superintendent of Rev. Survey (Retired)
Bangalore

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 26th Sept. 24 submitting a representation to the Dewan requesting that the benefit of the revised grade of pay of Deputy Supdt. Rev. Survey, sanctioned in G.O. No 3356/C.B. 32-24-2 dated 7th Aug 24 may be given to you from the date of your getting Rs 700, viz, - 20th Aug 1920

over.

up to the date of your retirement on 1st Dec 1922, I am desired to inform you that Govt regret that ~~your~~ the request is inadmissible and cannot be sanctioned.

Yours Sincerely,

by

Chief Secretary.

11.11.22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by